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#### Abstract

We consider a reversible Markov process as a chemical polymerization model and study the asymptotic behavior (in the thermodynamic limit as $N \rightarrow+\infty$ ) of a particular probability distribution on the set of $N$-dimensional vectors, the $k$ th component of which is the number of $k$-mers. The study establishes the existence of three stages (subcritical, near-critical, and supercritical stages) of polymerization, depending on the value of the strength of the fragmentation reaction. The present paper concentrates on the analysis of the subcritical stage. In the subcritical stages we show that the size of the largest length of polymers of size $N$ is of the order $\log N$ as $N \rightarrow+\infty$.


KEY WORDS: Polymerization; Markov process; limit behavior; stationary distribution.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

If we limit ourselves to homogeneous systems where diffusion effects are ignored, there are essentially two models describing systems of polymers evolving through the irreversible aggregation reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j)+(k) \xrightarrow{R_{j k}}(j+k) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereby polymers of lengths $j$ and $k$ link themselves together to form polymer of length $\dot{j}+k$ (the number $R_{j k}$ denotes the corresponding reaction rate ${ }^{(1)}$ ): one is Smoluchovski's model, the other is Lushinikov's model. The connection between the two models is as follows: let $N_{1}(t), N_{2}(t), \ldots, N_{N}(t)$ be the random valuables denoting the numbers of monomers, dimers,...,

[^0]$N$-mers at time $t$ in Lushnikov's model; then the expected values $(1 / V) E\left[N_{j}(t)\right]$ should coincide in the thermodynamic limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, $V \rightarrow \infty, N / V=\rho$ with the densities $x_{j}$ of Smoluchovski's model; see ref. 2. For Smoluchovski's model the kinetic theory of polymerization does not contain the equilibrium theory of Flory ${ }^{(3)}$ and Stockmayer ${ }^{(4)}$ as a limiting case for large values of the time, due to the absence of fragmentation effects. In fact, as clusters are growing in size, bred-up processes become more important, and the irreversible coagulation reactions should be replaced by reversible coagulation-fragmentation reactions. Many studies ${ }^{(5-7)}$ about the kinetic equation containing the combined effects of coagulation and fragmentation have been done. Recently several papers ${ }^{(1,8,9)}$ have been devoted to the Lushnikov model; a detailed asymptotic analysis of the Flory-Stockmayer-Whittle polymerization process was given by Pittel, Woyczynski, and Mann. ${ }^{(10-13)}$ The studies motivate us to consider a reversible random polymerization process which permits the congulationfragmentation reaction, i.e.,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j)+(k) \underset{F_{j k}}{\stackrel{R_{j k}}{\rightleftharpoons}}(j+k) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The objective of the present paper is to study the limit distribution (in the thermodynamic limit) of the number of polymers for the process in the subcritical stage; the near-critical and supercritical stages are analyzed in ref. 14. In Section 2 we give the formal description of the reversible random polymerization process $M_{N}(t)$ and its stationary distribution. The conditions under which the critical value $\lambda_{c}$ can be determined are presented in Section 3. In Section 4 we give a precise asymptotic formula of the partition function $\pi_{N}$ in the subcritical stage and prove that the size of the largest length of polymers of size $N$ is of the order of $\log N$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the subcritical stage.

## 2. THE REVERSIBLE POLYMERIZATION PROCESS AND ITS STATIONARY DISTRIBUTION

The reversible random polymerization process is a continuous-time Markov chain $\left\{M_{N}(t): t \geqslant 0\right\}$ with the state space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{N}=\left\{\mathbf{n} \in N^{N}: \sum_{k=1}^{N} k n_{k}=N\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $k$ th component of the state vector $\mathbf{n}$ represents the number of $k$-mers. The only allowed transitions from $\mathbf{n}$ are to states of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{n}_{j k}^{+}= \begin{cases}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{j}-1, \ldots, n_{k}-1, \ldots, n_{j+k}+1, \ldots, n_{N}\right) & \text { if } j \neq k \\
\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{j}-2, \ldots, n_{2 j}+1, \ldots, \ldots, n_{N}\right) & \text { if } j=k\end{cases}  \tag{4}\\
& \mathbf{n}_{j k}^{-}= \begin{cases}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{j}+1, \ldots, n_{k}+1, \ldots, n_{j+k}-1, \ldots, n_{N}\right) & \text { if } j \neq k \\
\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{j}+2, \ldots, n_{2 j}-1, \ldots, \ldots, n_{N}\right) & \text { if } j=k\end{cases} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and they occur with rate

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{\mathbf{n n}^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{N^{2}} R_{j k} n_{j} n_{k} & \text { if } \mathbf{n}^{\prime}=\mathbf{n}_{j k}^{+}, j \neq k \\
\frac{1}{N^{2}} R_{i j} n_{j}\left(n_{j}-1\right) & \text { if } \mathbf{n}^{\prime}=\mathbf{n}_{j k}^{+}, \quad j=k \\
\frac{1}{N} F_{j k} n_{j+k} & \text { if } \mathbf{n}^{\prime}=\mathbf{n}_{j k}^{-} \\
0 & \text { other } \mathbf{n}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{n}\end{cases}  \tag{6}\\
& Q_{\mathbf{n n}}=-\sum_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{n}} Q_{\mathbf{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{i j}>0, F_{i j}>0$; they satisfy the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i+j=k} F_{i j} & =\frac{2}{\lambda}(k-1), \quad k \geqslant 2  \tag{7}\\
\lambda F_{i j} f(i+j) & =R_{i j} f(i) f(j) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is constant, and $\{f(k), k \geqslant 1\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers. The formulas (7) and (8) and their meaning can been found in ref. 7. The choice of $Q_{\mathrm{nn}}$ reflects the fact that in the homogeneous system, reaction (2) occurs with a probability proportional to the number of reactants and inversely proportional to the volume; here the density is taken to be equal to one, so that the volume coincides with the total number of units $\mathbf{N}$.

From (6)-(8) we see that the process $M_{N}(t)$ has a unique stationary distribution.

Lemma 1. The process $M_{N}(t)$ has a unique stationary distribution which is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}(\mathbf{n})=\frac{1}{\pi_{N}} \prod_{k=1}^{N}\left[\left(\frac{N}{\lambda}\right) f(k)\right]^{n_{k}} / n_{k}!, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \Omega_{N} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{N}=\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Omega_{N}} \prod_{k=1}^{N}\left[\left(\frac{N}{\lambda}\right) f(k)\right]^{n_{k}} / n_{k}! \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}(\mathbf{n}) Q_{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}^{\prime}}=P_{N}\left(\mathbf{n}^{\prime}\right) Q_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{n}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from (6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \in \Omega_{N}} P_{N}\left(\mathbf{n}^{\prime}\right) Q_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{n}} \\
& =\sum_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{n}} P_{N}\left(\mathbf{n}^{\prime}\right) Q_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{n}}-P_{N}(\mathbf{n}) \sum_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{n}} Q_{\mathbf{n n}^{\prime}} \\
& =\sum_{j, k} P_{N}\left(\mathbf{n}_{j k}^{-}\right) Q_{\mathbf{n}_{j, k}^{-} \mathbf{n}}+\sum_{j, k} P_{N}\left(\mathbf{n}_{j k}^{+}\right) Q_{\mathbf{n}_{j, k^{\mathbf{n}}}}-P_{N}(\mathbf{n}) \sum_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{n}} Q_{\mathbf{n n}^{\prime}} \\
& =\sum_{j \neq k} P_{N}\left(\mathbf{n}_{j k}\right) \frac{1}{N^{2}} R_{j k}\left(n_{j}+1\right)\left(n_{k}+1\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=k} P_{N}\left(\mathbf{n}_{i j}\right) \frac{1}{N^{2}} R_{j j}\left(n_{j}+2\right)\left(n_{j}+1\right) \\
& +\sum_{j \neq k} P_{N}\left(\mathbf{n}_{j k}^{+}\right) \frac{1}{N} F_{j k}\left(n_{j+k}+1\right)+\sum_{j=k} P_{N}\left(\mathbf{n}_{j j}^{+}\right) \frac{1}{N} F_{j j}\left(n_{2 j}+1\right) \\
& -P_{N}(\mathbf{n}) \sum_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{n}} Q_{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}^{\prime}} \\
& =P_{N}(\mathbf{n})\left[\sum_{j \neq k} \frac{N}{\lambda} \frac{f(j) f(k)}{f(j+k)} \frac{n_{j+k}}{\left(n_{j}+1\right)\left(n_{k}+1\right)} \frac{1}{N^{2}} R_{j k}\left(n_{j}+1\right)\left(n_{k}+1\right)\right. \\
& +\sum_{j=k} \frac{N}{\lambda} \frac{f(j) f(j)}{f(2 j)} \frac{n_{2 j}}{\left(n_{j}+2\right)\left(n_{j}+1\right)} \frac{1}{N^{2}} R_{i j}\left(n_{j}+2\right)\left(n_{j}+1\right) \\
& +\sum_{j \neq k} \frac{\lambda}{N} \frac{f(i+j)}{f(i) f(j)} \frac{n_{j} n_{k}}{\left(n_{j+k}+1\right)} \frac{1}{N} F_{j k}\left(n_{j+k}+1\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{j=k} \frac{\lambda}{N} \frac{f(2 j)}{f(j) f(j)} \frac{n_{j}\left(n_{j}-1\right)}{\left(n_{2 j}+1\right)} \frac{1}{N} F_{j j}\left(n_{2 j}+1\right)-\sum_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{n}} Q_{\mathbf{n n}^{\prime}}\right] \\
& =P_{N}(\mathbf{n})\left(\sum_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{n}} Q_{\mathbf{n n}^{\prime}}-\sum_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{n}} Q_{\mathbf{n n}^{\prime}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and Theorem 4.37 of ref. 15, we see that $P_{N}(\mathbf{n}), \mathbf{n} \in \Omega_{N}$, is the unique stationary distribution of $\left\{M_{N}(t): t \geqslant 0\right\}$.

It is easy to show (11). By (11) we know that $M_{N}(t)$ is a reversible Markov chain.

Next we obtain the generating function of $\left\{\pi_{N}\right\}$.
Lemma 2. Let

$$
\pi_{N}(y)=\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Omega_{N}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{[y f(k)]^{n_{k}}}{n_{k}!}, \quad N \geqslant 1, \quad y \geqslant 0
$$

Then the generating function of the partition function $\left\{\pi_{N}(y)\right\}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \pi_{N}(y) x^{N}=\exp [y F(x)], \quad|x|<r \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(j) x^{j}
$$

and $r$ is the radius of convergence for the series $F(x)$.
Proof. Let $\pi_{0}(y)=1$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{N}^{\prime}(y) & =\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Omega_{N}}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{N}\right) y^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{N}\right)-1} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{[f(k)]^{n_{k}}}{n_{k}!} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\mathrm{n} \in \Omega_{N}} n_{j} \frac{y^{n_{j}-1} f(j)^{n_{j}}}{n_{j}!} \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{[y f(k)]^{n_{k}}}{n_{k}!} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\mathrm{n}: \Sigma_{k=1}^{N}} f\left(j n_{k}=N-j\right. \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{N} f(j) \frac{[y f(k)]^{n_{k}}}{n_{k}!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, if we put

$$
A(x, y)=1+\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \pi_{N}(y) x^{N}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{y}^{\prime}(x \cdot y) & =\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \pi_{N}^{\prime}(y) x^{N}=\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(j) x^{j} \pi_{N-j}(y) x^{N-j} \\
& =\sum_{j-1}^{\infty} f(j) x^{j} \sum_{N=j}^{\infty} \pi_{N-j} x^{N-j}=F(x) A(x \cdot y)
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log [A(x \cdot y)] & =y F(x) \\
A(x \cdot y) & =\exp [y F(x)]
\end{aligned}
$$

The lemma is true.

## 3. THE CONDITIONS OF DETERMINING THE CRITICAL VALUE

In the section, we give the conditions under which the critical value of the parameter $\lambda$ can be determined.

Suppose that there exists a positive radius $\bar{r}$ of convergence for the series $F(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k) x^{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{\prime}(\bar{r})<+\infty  \tag{13}\\
& F^{\prime \prime}(\bar{r})=+\infty \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F^{\prime \prime \prime}(\bar{r})}{\left[F^{\prime \prime}(\bar{r})\right]^{3}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \bar{r}-0} \frac{F^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{\left[F^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{3}} \neq 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the critical value $\lambda_{c}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{c}=\bar{r} F^{\prime}(\bar{r}) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. It is shown elsewhere ${ }^{(14)}$ that (14) and (15) are needed to determine the critical value $\lambda_{c}$.

Example 1. For the $\mathrm{RA}_{f}$ model the numbers $f(k)$ have been calculated already by Stockmayer:

$$
f(k)=\frac{f^{k}[(f-1) k]!}{[(f-2) k+2]!k!}
$$

So we can obtain the radius of convergence for $F(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k) x^{k}$ :

$$
\bar{r}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(k)}{f(k+1)}=\frac{(f-2)^{f-2}}{f(f-1)^{f-1}}
$$

In the case $f=2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{r} & =\frac{1}{2} \\
F(x) & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k-1} x^{k}=\frac{x}{1-2 x} \\
F^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{1}{(1-2 x)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we can check that $F(x)$ does not satisfy (13) and (15), so one may consider the critical value $\lambda_{c}=+\infty$.

For $f=3$, we have $\bar{r}=1 / 12$ and

$$
F(x)=\frac{1}{108} x^{-2}(1-12 x)^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{6} x^{-1}-\frac{1}{108} x^{-2}-\frac{1}{2}
$$

It is easy to check that $(13)-(15)$ hold for $F(x)$, so we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{c}=\frac{1}{12} F^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{12}\right)=\frac{8}{12}=\frac{2}{3} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f \geqslant 4$, we need another method to determine the $\lambda_{c}$, since it is difficulty to obtain the analytic expression of the series $F(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k) x^{k}$. Notice that $F, F^{\prime}$, and $F^{\prime \prime}$ have the same radius of convergence, and $F^{\prime \prime}(x) \geqslant 0$ as $x \geqslant 0$, so $\lambda(x)=x F^{\prime}(x)$ is an increasing function as $x \geqslant 0$, and $\lambda(x)$ reaches a maximum (finite value) at $x=\bar{r}$, that is, $\lambda_{c}=\lambda(\bar{r})$. By combination of (7) and (8), we have the recursion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k-1) f(k)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} R_{i j} f(i) f(j) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiply both sides of (18) by $x^{k}$ and sum over $k=2,3, \ldots$ Taking $R_{i j}=[(f-2) i+2][(f-2) j+2]$ (see ref. 7) and using the identity

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} x^{k}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} x^{k}\right)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i+j=k} a_{i} b_{j}\right) x^{k}
$$

to the series $F(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k) x^{k}$, we see that

$$
2\left[x F^{\prime}(x)-F(x)\right]=(f-2)^{2}\left[x F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}+4(f-2) x F^{\prime}(x) F(x)+4 F(x)^{2}
$$

Put $\lambda(x)=x F^{\prime}(x)$; then we have

$$
(f-2)^{2} \lambda(x)^{2}+[4(f-2) F(x)-2] \lambda(x)+2 F(x)+4 F(x)^{2}=0
$$

and therefore

$$
\lambda(x)=\frac{1}{(f-2)^{2}}\left\{1-2(f-2) F(x) \pm[1-2 f(f-2) F(x)]^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

Notice that $\lambda^{\prime}(x)>0$ and $F^{\prime}(x)>0$ as $x>0$, so
$\lambda(x)=\frac{1}{(f-2)^{2}}\left\{1-2(f-2) F(x)-[1-2 f(f-2) F(x)]^{1 / 2}\right\}, \quad x \geqslant 0(19)$
Hence, if and only if $F(x)=1 /[2 f(f-2)], \lambda(x)$ reaches a maximum, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{c}=\bar{r} F^{\prime}(\bar{r})=\lambda(\bar{r})=\frac{f-1}{f(f-2)^{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This coincides a well-known result. ${ }^{(7)}$ By (19) we see that for $f \geqslant 4$, (13)-(15) all hold.

## 4. THE LARGEST LENGTH OF POLYMERS IN THE SUBCRITICAL STAGE

First we give a precise asymptotic formula for the partition function $\pi_{N}$ in the subcritical stage.

Theorem 1. Let $0<\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{c}$; then for any fixed $j \geqslant 0$ and large $N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{N-j}\left(\frac{N}{\lambda_{0}}\right)= & {[1+V(N-j)] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\left(\frac{F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}{x_{0} F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} } \\
& \times x_{0}^{j}(N-j)^{-1 / 2} \exp N\left(\frac{F\left(x_{0}\right)}{\lambda_{0}}-\log x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{0}$ is a positive number satisfying $x_{0} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\lambda_{0}, V(N) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. By (12) and Cauchy's integral formula

$$
\pi_{N-j}=\pi_{N-j}\left(\frac{N}{\lambda_{0}}\right)=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{ष} \exp \left[\frac{N}{\lambda_{0}} F(x)-(N-j) \log x\right] x^{-1} d x
$$

where $\mathscr{C}$ is a contour of radius less than $\bar{r}$ surrounding the origin $x=0$.

Put

$$
f_{N}(x)=\frac{N-j}{\lambda_{0}} F(x)-(N-j) \log x
$$

and choose the radius of $\mathscr{C}$ equal to a root $x_{0}$ of $f_{N}^{\prime}(x)=0$. From

$$
f_{N}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{N-j}{\lambda_{0}}\left[F^{\prime}(x)-\frac{\lambda_{0}}{x}\right]=0
$$

we obtain $f_{N}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, where $x_{0}$ satisfies $x_{0} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\lambda_{0}, x_{0}<\bar{r}$. This root is unique, because $x F^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly increasing as $0 \leqslant x<\bar{r}$. Such a root is a saddle point of $\exp \left[f_{N}(x)\right]$. A standard saddle-point-type argument shows then that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{N-j}= & {[1+o(1)] \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\frac{2 \pi}{x_{0}^{2} f_{N}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2} \exp \left[f_{N}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{j}{\lambda_{0}} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right] } \\
= & {[1+V(N-j)] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\left[\frac{F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}{x_{0} F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2} } \\
& \times x_{0}^{j}(N-j)^{-1 / 2} \exp N\left[\frac{F\left(x_{0}\right)}{\lambda_{0}}-\log x_{0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Next we study the largest length of polymers in the subcritical stage.
Let $N_{j}$ denote the total number of polymers of length $j$ in $\mathbf{n} \in \Omega_{N}$ and $L_{N}$ denote the size of the largest length of polymers in $\mathbf{n} \in \Omega_{N}$. For an integer $S \geqslant 1$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{N S}=\sum_{j \geqslant S} N_{j} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. If the number $f(k)$ defined in (8) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log f(k)=A k+B \log k+\varepsilon(k) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are constants, and $\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow C, \varepsilon^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$, then for $0<\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{c}$, the size $L_{N}$ of the largest length of polymers in $\mathbf{n}$ is asymptotically, in probability, a logarithmic function of $N$. More precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{N}=\frac{1}{K_{0}}\left[\log N+B \log \log N+O_{p}(1)\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} P_{N}\left(\left|L_{N}-\frac{1}{K_{0}}[\log N+B \log \log N]\right| \geqslant \omega(N)\right)=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $O_{p}(1)$ denotes random variables bounded in probability, $\omega(N) \rightarrow+\infty$ slowsly as $n \rightarrow \infty, K_{0}=-\log x_{0}-A$, and $x_{0}$ is determined by $\lambda_{0}=x_{0} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. First we show that $K_{0}>0$. It follows from $F\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k) x_{0}^{k}<\infty, x_{0}<\bar{r}$, and (22) that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} e^{[\ln f(k)] / k} x_{0}=e^{A} x_{0}<1
$$

so $\log x_{0}-A<0$, that is, $K_{0}>0$.
By (9) and (10) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E N_{j} & =\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Omega_{N}} n_{j} P_{N}(\mathbf{n}) \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi_{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{n}: \sum_{k=1}^{N}} \sum_{k n_{k}=N} \frac{\left[N f(j) / \lambda_{0}\right]^{n_{j}}}{\left(n_{j}-1\right)!} \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\left[N f(k) / \lambda_{0}\right]^{n_{k}}}{n_{k}!} \\
& =\frac{N f(j)}{\lambda_{0} \pi_{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{n}: \sum_{k=1}^{N}} \sum_{k n_{k}=N-j} \frac{\left[N f(k) / \lambda_{0}\right]^{n_{j}}-1}{\left(n_{j}-1\right)!} \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\left[N f(k) / \lambda_{0}\right]^{n_{k}}}{n_{k}!} \\
& =\frac{N f(j)}{\lambda_{0} \pi_{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{n}: \sum_{k=1}^{N-j}} \sum_{k n_{k}=N-j} \prod_{k=1}^{N-j} \frac{\left[N f(k) / \lambda_{0}\right]^{n_{k}}}{n_{k}!} \\
& =\frac{N f(j)}{\lambda_{0}} \frac{\pi_{N-j}\left(N / \lambda_{0}\right)}{\pi_{N}\left(N / \lambda_{0}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorem 1 we have

$$
E N_{j}=\left[1+\frac{V(N-j)-V(N)}{1+V(N)}\right] \frac{N f(j)}{\lambda_{0}}\left(1-\frac{j}{N}\right)^{-1 / 2} e^{j \log x_{0}}
$$

Let

$$
\widetilde{X}_{j}=\frac{N}{\lambda_{0}} f(j)\left(1-\frac{j}{N}\right)^{-1 / 2} e^{j \log x_{0}}
$$

Using (22), we have for large $N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \geqslant S}^{N} \tilde{N}_{j}= & \sum_{j \geqslant S}^{[N / 2]} \tilde{N}_{j}+\sum_{j=[N / 2]+1}^{N} \tilde{N}_{j} \\
= & {[1+o(1)]\left[\frac{N^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} \int_{S / N}^{1 / 2}(1-x)^{-1 / 2} e^{-K_{0} N x} e^{B \log x N+\varepsilon(x N)} d x\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{N^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} \int_{1 / 2}^{1}(1-x)^{-1 / 2} e^{-K_{0} N x} e^{B \log x N+\varepsilon(x N)} d x\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Using integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & {[1+o(1)]\left(\frac{N}{K_{0} \lambda_{0}}\left(1-\frac{S}{N}\right)^{-1 / 2} e^{-K_{0} S+B \log S+\varepsilon(S)}\right.} \\
& +\frac{N}{2 K_{0} \lambda_{0}} \int_{S / N}^{1 / 2}\left\{(1-x)^{-3 / 2} e^{-K_{0} N x} e^{B \log x N+\varepsilon(x N)}\right. \\
& \left.+(1-x)^{-1 / 2} e^{-K_{0} N x} e^{B \log x N+\varepsilon(x N)}\left[\frac{B}{x}+N \varepsilon^{\prime}(x N)\right]\right\} d x \\
& -\left.\frac{2 N^{2}}{\lambda_{0}}(1-x)^{1 / 2} e^{-K_{0} N x} e^{B \log x N+\varepsilon(x N)}\right|_{1 / 2} ^{1} \\
& \left.+\frac{2 N^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} \int_{1 / 2}^{1}\left\{(1-x)^{1 / 2} e^{-K_{0} N x} e^{B \log N+\varepsilon(x N)}\left[-K_{0} N+\frac{B}{x}+N \varepsilon^{\prime}(x N)\right]\right\} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious that the last two terms go to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. So $\sum_{j \geqslant s}^{N} \bar{N}_{j}$ is bounded away from 0 and $\infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{0}=\frac{1}{K_{0}}(\log N+B \log \log N) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{j \geqslant S_{0}}^{[N / 2]} \tilde{N}_{j} \rightarrow e^{c} \lambda_{0}^{-1} K_{0}^{-(B+1)} & (\text { as } N \rightarrow+\infty) \\
\sum_{j=[N / 2]+1}^{N} \tilde{N}_{j} \rightarrow 0, \quad V(N) \rightarrow 0 & (\text { as } N \rightarrow+\infty)
\end{array}
$$

we have for $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(N) \sum_{j \geqslant s_{0}}^{N} \tilde{N}_{j} \rightarrow 0 \\
\sum_{j \geqslant S_{0}}^{N} V(N-j) \tilde{N}_{j}=\sum_{j \geqslant S_{0}}^{[N / 2]} V(N-j) \tilde{N}_{j}+\sum_{j=[N / 2]+1}^{N} V(N-j) \tilde{N}_{j} \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
E Y_{N S}=\sum_{j \geqslant S}^{N} \tilde{N}_{j}+\sum_{j \geqslant S}^{N} \frac{V(N-j)-V(N)}{1+V(N)} \tilde{N}_{j}
$$

is bounded away from 0 and $\infty$ as $N \rightarrow+\infty$ if and only if $S=S_{0}$. Hence,

$$
E Y_{N S_{0}}=\sigma_{0}+o(1)
$$

where $\sigma_{0}=1 / \beta_{0} \lambda_{0}, \beta_{0}=e^{-C} K_{0}^{B+1}$.
If we prove that, for every fixed $m \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(Y_{N S_{0}}\right)_{m} \rightarrow \sigma_{0}^{m}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(Y_{N S_{0}}\right)_{m}$ is the total number of the ordered $m$-tuples of polymers of length $j \geqslant S_{0}$, then, asymptotically, $Y_{N S_{0}}$ has Poisson distribution with mean $\sigma_{0},{ }^{(16)}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}\left(Y_{N S_{0}}=k\right)=e^{-\sigma_{0}} \frac{\sigma_{0}^{k}}{k!}+o(1) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $k \geqslant 0$.
Let $\pi(N)=\pi_{N}$ and $\left(N_{i}\right)_{k}=N_{i}\left(N_{i}-1\right) \cdots\left(N_{i}-k+1\right)$; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(Y_{N S}\right)_{m} & =\sum_{k_{1}+\cdots k_{N}=m} E\left[\left(N_{S}\right)_{k_{s}}\left(N_{S+1}\right)_{k S+1} \cdots\left(N_{N}\right)_{k_{N}}\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{N}{\lambda_{0}}\right)^{m} \sum_{\substack{j_{1}+j_{2}+\cdots+j_{m} \leqslant N \\
j_{i} \geqslant S, i \geqslant 1}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} f\left(j_{i}\right) \frac{\pi\left(N-\sum_{i=1}^{m} j_{i}\right)}{\pi(N)} \\
& =\sum_{j_{m}^{\prime} \leqslant N}\left[1+G\left(N, j_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left(\frac{N}{\lambda_{0}}\right)^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} f\left(j_{i}\right)\left(1-\frac{j_{m}^{\prime}}{N}\right)^{-1 / 2} e^{i_{m}^{\prime} \log x_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j_{m}^{\prime}=j_{1}+\cdots+j_{m}, G\left(N, j_{m}^{\prime}\right)=\left[V\left(N-j_{m}^{\prime}\right)-V(N)\right] / 1+V(N)$.

Let

$$
\tilde{Y}_{N S}\left(j_{m}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{N}{\lambda_{0}}\right)^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} f\left(j_{i}\right)\left(1-\frac{j_{m}^{\prime}}{N}\right)^{-1 / 2} e^{j_{m}^{\prime} \log x_{0}}
$$

Taking $S=S_{0}$ defined in (25) and using integration by parts, we have for large $N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{j_{m}^{\prime} \leqslant[N / 2] \\
j_{i} \geqslant S}} \widetilde{Y}_{N S_{0}}\left(j_{m}^{\prime}\right) \\
&= {[1+o(1)] \frac{N^{2 m}}{\lambda_{0}^{m}} \int_{S_{0} / N}^{1 / 2-(m-1) S_{0} / N} d x_{1} \int_{S_{0} / N}^{1 / 2-x_{1}-(m-2\} S_{0} / N} d x_{2} } \\
& \times \cdots \int_{S_{0} / N}^{1 / 2-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_{i}}\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-K_{0} N \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right) \\
& \times \exp \left\{B \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\ln \left(N x_{i}\right)+\varepsilon\left(N x_{i}\right)\right]\right\} d x_{m} \\
&= {[1+o(1)]\left[\frac{1}{\beta_{0}^{m} \lambda_{0}^{m}}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} I_{i}(N)\right] }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{i}(N)= & \frac{N^{2 m-2 i+1}}{2 \beta_{0}^{i} \lambda_{0}^{m}} \iint_{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{m-i+1+(i-1)} \cdots \int_{0} / N \leqslant 1 / 2} H(i)^{-3 / 2} T(i) d x_{1} \cdots d x_{m-i+1} \\
& +\frac{N^{2 m-2 i+1}}{2 \beta_{0}^{i} \lambda_{0}^{m}} \quad \iint_{x_{j} / N} \cdots \int_{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{m-i+1+(i-1)}} \quad H(i)^{-1 / 2} T(i) \\
& \times\left[\frac{B}{x_{j} \geqslant S_{0} / N}+N \varepsilon^{\prime}\left(N x_{0}\right)\right] d x_{1} \cdots d x_{m-i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(i)=\left[1-\sum_{j=1}^{m-i+1} x_{j}-(i-1) \frac{S_{0}}{N}\right], \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m \\
& T(i)=\exp \left\{-K_{0} N \sum_{j=1}^{m-i+1} x_{j}+B \sum_{j=1}^{m-i+1}\left[\ln \left(N x_{j}\right)+\varepsilon\left(N x_{j}\right)\right]\right\}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m
\end{aligned}
$$

Using again the interpretation by parts for $I_{i}(N)$, we can show that $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} I_{i}(N)=0(1 \leqslant i \leqslant m)$. By the same method, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{j_{m}^{\prime} \geqslant[N / 2]+1 \\
j_{i} \geqslant S_{0}}} \tilde{Y}_{N S_{0}} \\
& \quad=[1+o(1)] \lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{N^{2 m}}{\lambda_{0}^{m \prime}} \underset{\substack{1 \geqslant x_{1}+\cdots+x_{m} \geqslant 1 / 2 \\
x_{i} \geqslant S_{0} / N}}{\iint_{N} \cdots \int_{m}} H(1) T(1) d x_{1} \cdots d x_{m}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} & E\left(Y_{N S_{0}}\right)_{m} \\
= & \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j_{m} \leqslant[N / 2]}\left[1+G\left(N, j_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tilde{Y}_{N S_{0}}\left(j_{m}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j_{m} \geqslant[N / 3]+1}^{N}\left[1+G\left(N, j_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tilde{Y}_{N S_{0}}\left(j_{m}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta_{0}^{m} \lambda_{0}^{m}}=\sigma_{0}^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} G\left(N, j_{m}^{\prime}\right)=0$, as $j_{m}^{\prime} \leqslant[N / 2]$. This proves (26).
By the same method, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{N}\left(Y_{N\left(S_{0}+c_{N}\right)}=k\right)=e^{-\sigma_{1}} \frac{\sigma_{1}^{k}}{k!}+o(1) \\
& P_{N}\left(Y_{N\left(S_{0}-c_{N}\right)}=k\right)=e^{-\sigma_{2}} \frac{\sigma_{2}^{k}}{k!}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any bounded positive number series $\left\{c_{N}\right\}$, where $c_{N}<S_{0}$, $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{0} \exp \left(-K_{0} c_{N}\right)$ and $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{0} \exp \left(K_{0} c_{N}\right)$.

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{N}\left(\left|L_{N}-S_{0}\right| \geqslant c_{N}\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant P_{N}\left(L_{N} \geqslant S_{0}+c_{N}\right)+P_{N}\left(L_{N} \leqslant S_{0}-c_{N}\right) \\
& \quad=P_{N}\left(Y_{N\left(S_{0}+c_{N}\right)} \geqslant 1\right)+P_{N}\left(Y_{N\left(S_{0}-c_{N}\right)}=0\right) \\
& \quad=1-e^{-\sigma_{1}}+e^{-\sigma_{2}}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

When $c_{N} \rightarrow \infty$ slowsly as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain (24) immediately. This completes the proof.

As an application of Theorem 2, we take two examples.

Example 2. For the $\mathrm{RA}_{f}$ model we take

$$
f(k)=\frac{f^{k}[(f-1) k]!}{[(f-2) k+2]!k!} \quad(f \geqslant 3)
$$

It is not difficult to calculate by Stirling's formula that

$$
\log f(k)=A k+B \log k+\varepsilon(k)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\log \left(\frac{f(f-1)^{f-1}}{(f-2)^{f-2}}\right), \quad B=-\frac{5}{2} \\
\varepsilon(k) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{f-1}{2 \pi(f-2)^{5}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

so, for the $\mathrm{RA}_{f}$ model in the subcritical stage

$$
L_{N}=\frac{1}{K_{0}}\left[\log N-\frac{5}{2} \log \log N+O_{p}(1)\right]
$$

where

$$
K_{0}=-\log x_{0}-\log \left(\frac{f(f-1)^{f-1}}{(f-2)^{f-2}}\right)
$$

Example 3. For the $\mathrm{RA}_{\infty}$ model we take

$$
f(k)=\frac{k^{k-2}}{k!}
$$

where $k^{k-2}$ is the number of trees with $k$ labeled vertices by Cayley's formula.

It can be calculated that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log f(k) & =k-\frac{5}{2} \log k+\varepsilon(k) \\
\varepsilon(k) & \rightarrow-\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

so, for the $\mathrm{RA}_{\infty}$ model in the subcritical stage

$$
L_{N}=\frac{1}{K_{0}}\left[\log N-\frac{5}{2} \log N+O_{P}(1)\right]
$$

where $K_{0}=-\log x_{0}-1$. This result is similar to Pittel's; see ref. 13.
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